

G. R. S. IX-5

Previous references,

1929.

File No. 546

(27102)

Serial Nos

1-8

Later references
3 357-22 1-7

3 3 1 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Feb 22

SUBJECT.

Taking the temple of Sri Kall
Swami at Bokkhalalli Nanjangud
Taluk, under Govt management

Muz Supt, D. B. Mysore, prompt
Supt of Swam Settls

4648-57 of 23. 2. 22

Directs the _____

[Signature]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

()

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Taking account of the
halli, Nanjanahalli, etc.

From

The Dy. Commr., ...

Ref. C. No. 458 of 11-20 Dated ...

Received

Diary No. 73/

Enclos. Spare Copies.

Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests, ...
management ...
is badly in need of ...
certain ...
income from the ...

NOTES AND ORDERS.

A

In Nov. 1912 the villagers of Bokkahalli complained to the Amildar that the temple in question was very badly in need of repairs; that it had an income of 120 pallas of paddy; that a portion out of it may be set apart for puja and paditara and the

	Serial No	
A		balance reserved for repairs -
		2. The Shanbhag reported that the temple was formerly in the enjoyment of 7 acres of inam lands, which were subsequently assessed (Rs 36-10-6); and that Thammadi Lingiah, the archak, is now enjoying the lands, doing the puja service
C		3. It will be seen from the Amildar's report of 12-12-16 that the lands in question remained as <u>inam</u> till 1879 and ~~was~~ ^{were} in 1880 converted into Kandayam lands ~~along with~~ when certain Brahma- daya & Devadaya Inam lands in the ~~the~~ village were resumed to Govt. This information, it is stated, was gathered from the Inam Register extract - (vide copy of the same in Muz. 683-18).
D		4. Thammadi Lingiah and two other pujaris of the temple having declined to contribute for the temple repairs, the D.C. proposed in his letter no. 302 of 26-12-12 that the temple with its lands may be taken under Govt. manage. After some correspondence, the D.C. was asked in this office letter no. 2399/Muz 361-12-6 of 17-3-17 to submit a scale of income & expenditure and also a list of persons to be appointed as Dhamadarsis. An estimate
E		

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Muz File No. 546 of 1919

Serial
No.

for the repairs of ~~the~~ temple, ~~with~~ was also called for, and the source from which the cost was to be met was required to be pointed out. [As no reply was received till Jan'y 19, the papers were ordered to be recorded]. The recorded collection Muz 364-1 - 86(1-6) is put up for refce -

7 5. The Dy: Commr having reported in his letter no. 89 of 22-4-19 that the archak's contribution of Rs 100 towards repairs was deposited in the Savings Bank; that petty repairs costing that much may be executed and the question of taking the temple under Govt. manage^t dropped, he was informed in this office letter no. 3911/Muz 683-18-2 of 11-6-19, that there was nothing to prevent the D. C. from assuming manage^t of the institution, for mismanage^t, under Sect: 9 of the Muz: Regn and that after the building ^{was} repaired etc, the question of restoring the lands as inam to the temple may be moved -

6. In his present letter the D. C. reports that the temple has an annual income of Rs 300/-, that the archak's decline to undertake the repairs of the temple

Muz File No. 546 of 1919

Serial
No.

or to take a pay of Rs 10/- per mensem, so as to make the balance of Rs 200/- available for repairs, and requests sanction, therefore, to take the temple under Govt. manage, to lease out the lands for 5 yrs, to fix the pay of the archak at Rs 10/- p.m., and a sum of Rs 20/- for hetchukatti and reserve the balance of Rs 200/- for repairs -

7. The Dy. Commr. having already been told that there is nothing to prevent him as a Muz. offr. to order the temple being taken ^{under section 9 of the Regn.} under Govt. manage, it is not clear why again the sanction of Govt. has ~~not~~ been sought for.

Subd for orders.

~~80~~ 86

10/4/20

For orders.

The Dy. Commr. appears to have applied for sanction under the proviso to sec. 12 of the Regn. - But this proviso applies only to acts under sec. 11.

For orders.

20/4/20)

17-4-20

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Memo File No. 1

of 191

Serial
No.

1. If the lands were
assigned to Govt, they are ap-
parently not the property of the
temple. What is the use of
adding up the Manuscripts of
a temple which is neither in a
state of good repairs nor was
proper? A Report may be called
for -
U J 20/4/20

Subd

1. The temple is recommended to be
taken under Govt manage with a view
to save Rs 200/- annually out of the income
from the lands and utilize the accumulated
amounts for the temple repairs. The Sub-
Div. off. has reported that after the resto-
ration of the temple, steps ~~would be~~ will
be taken for the lands being converted
into Dnam.

2. If a report is still necessary, draft will
be subd-1/2 the lands were resumed 40 years
ago and were ^{entrusted} ~~leased~~ to the archakas

themselves for Kandogan tenure who
are now in enjoyment of the lands, but do
not care to attend to the repairs of the
institution. The D. in case that

Serial
No.

work in this

institution is properly attended to, it is now
proposed to ~~regret the view~~ ~~the temple~~
take it over under Muz. management
with a view to see to the proper maintenance
of the institution by applying the order of Govt.
in force directing the lease of the temple
lands for ^{not} more than 5 years at a time.
order shielded.

24/4/20

13) Draft letter of enquiry subd in
accordance with the personal instructions
of the Secretary -

27.4.20

28/4/20

14) Issue 28/4/20

2. To. Dy. Commr. Mysore Dist
No. 3918 D. 6.5.1920

Enquire how long are the architects on
the employment of the land, if they are in their
possession & how they can now be relieved
of the same etc

13

From, the Dy. Commr. Mysore Dist
Letter no 100
Reports to

Serial
No.

Land is in the name of the Government,
and that it is now available to show the
produce of the same land, to be used
by the Government for the improvement of the
land, and gives it as his opinion that the same
may be taken under the Government,
the land being reserved for the Government
on future tenure, a few acres of land
being given to the Government, and the same
being credited to the Government.

Submitted for order,

Mr. [Signature]
9.12.20

for the Government
to be used for the
improvement of the
land, and the same
being credited to the
Government.

82

10 Dec. 1920 - The main land
were resumed at settlement
place. Mr. [Signature] Main
asked for a copy of the Register
of Inquiry -

[Signature]

15/12/20

D
(Sub. mil. Officer's Report
No. 182 of 17.9.20)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

May File No. 546 of 1916

Serial
No.

I

The temple lands were resumed
in 1879, and were resumed
in 1880 to Govt. (Mildar's
report of 12-12-16)
Mr. to the. Muzam Supdt. is
Submits

10-1-21
G. R. S.

Jone

Muzam 5-1-21

4.

2. Muzam Supdt. in Mysore

No. 2386 of 11-1-21

Request is forwarded copy to Muzam Registers
pertaining to the resumption of the C. A. T. or
lands from the Govt. Muzam Supdt. in Mysore
at Bokkabalalli in Nanjangudi, K.

5.

From, the Muzam Supdt. in Mysore,
Letter no. 198 of 10-2-21

Submits a copy of the Muzam
Register pertaining to the resumption
of the temple. Muzam lands.

From the Muzam register it will
be seen that the lands were resumed
but were resumed at the time of the
Muzam settlement of 1880, for
failure to repair the building

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

May File No. 546 of 19 19

Serial
No.

Within 6 months grace sanction is by the
Then Purna Assistant Mr R. Pajonpalas
Encl is. for m & c

m
25.2.1921.

particulars of
the order of
resumption
of 14-8-80
at the same
time has not
been given yet
to.

This seems to be a case for
the regrant of the lands to the
temple, - in fact, the lands continue
to be in the khata of the temple -
as inam, and their being leased
for 5 years for the benefit of the
temple, the present holders thereof
being given the option of bidding
for the lease. It has also to be
ascertained whether the temple
is now in sound condition and
worship is properly conducted.

Sub for orders

86

25/2/21

Survey nos 74, 78
and 158 of Bokkali village were
being enjoyed as inam by the
Tri Kallawanswami temple at
the place till the inam settlement
in 1880 when they were resumed

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Muz File No. 516 of 1912

Serial
No.

I

A

As the temple was in a state of
disrepair a state of affairs
prevailed about the temple which
imposed the produce of the lands
pertaining to the temple to keep the
temple building in a good condition.
The lands were leased in the
Kuthe of the Archdeacon and the condition
that they should pay their full dues,
but subsequently it was discovered
that this was a mistake and the
Kuthe was transferred to the name
of the temple.

The building is badly
in need of repairs.

The temple lands were
recently converted into cash and
it is reported that the Archdeacon
are getting 63 pallas of land for about
Rs 350 net per annum. It is
further reported that the Archdeacon
who supply the whole of the produce,
flatly refuse to undertake to repair
the temple or to take a part of
Rs 10 per mensem and thus to set
free the balance of the income

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 526 of 1919.

Serial
No.

B

C

A

D

for the repairs of the temple. They seem to have been told that they are not liable to contribute more than Rs 100 towards the repairs and that the balance should be found by the village. On 6 Feb 1919, Mr A. Krishnaswami S. D. said that repairs of the temple of Rs 100, which the Archak had voluntarily deposited were enough and that the elaborate estimate in the records involving an expenditure of Rs 850 was unnecessary. But Mr J. Venkadrappa, his successor made a note on 22-1-1920 that the temple was in a most dilapidated condition and that nothing less than Rs 1000 appeared necessary to put it in good order. The Archaks have themselves admitted that the sum of Rs 100 contributed by them is not enough and that the balance should be made up by the village. The temple was in such a state of decay even in 1880 that the Income Dept. felt compelled

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Dny File No. 5216 of 1919.

Serial
No.

A

to resume the duties of the Archakas who neglected their duty to keep the temple in a state of good repairs prior to 1880 and who are continuing now that they are not liable to contribute anything more than Rs 100 are not likely to have effected substantial repairs to the temple during the interval. Mr J. Venkataramas' report seems to be more worthy of acceptance than that of his predecessors. The attitude assumed by the Government in view of the absolute necessity proposed that the temple, which is now a non-Muzrai, is under the management of the Government, Rs 10 per annum given to the Archakas & Rs 25 per annum provided for the repairs, is not satisfactory, and that the balance of the sum derived from the land which may be leased out for some years be used for repairs. It is in a very dissatisfied condition.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

May File No. 546 of 1969

Serial
No.

29, May 1969

Notwithstanding that its Court held
a fair trial, it was well held
that given such personal knowledge
ment has been proved, and the
people taken under its provisions.
The Convention of the 1948 states
that they should be allowed to
fully the produce of the
lands without being paid a wage
to contribute a substantial
portion of the cost of wages.
Cannot be justified. The period
of the 1948 Convention and the 1948
to ten per million with 25-
per annum in August of 1948-
1949 and to 1949, because the
balance towards 1949 is
perfectly reasonable and may
be done.

Island Member, 2/2/69
Perhaps may be read 2/2/69

4460-78.
3.3.21.26. A note may be specified to the Archdeacon
called upon to show cause. prior to.
They action as above should not be taken
if they fail to respond. further steps may be

may File No. 546 of 1919-20

Serial
No.

7

Letter The Dy. Commr., Mysore & District
No: 458 of 19-20 of 10-2-19

Solicits sanction, ~~for~~ ^{to} be explained, to take under Government
management, the Temple of Kalleswara
Inami at Borka.

The Archaks, who were summoned
to appear, ~~have~~ appeared before
the Dy. Commr. on the 10th December
last and have stated that the
lands are not Inam but, however
represent that they will be deprived
of their livelihood, if the lands
are resumed to Govt and pray
that they may be left in ~~possession~~
possession thereof.

The Dy. Commr. states that
their prayer cannot be accepted
in view of the temple being left
in a most neglected condition,
appropriating all the income
from the temple lands for their
personal use and recommends
that the lands of the temple may
therefore be taken under Govt. Management.

Serial
NoDraft G.O subd for
Owen.

25/1/22 26.1.22

Who are the persons
in possession of the lands?
Has the statement of
every one of them been taken?

26/27/1/22

The lands are now
in the enjoyment of the Archaks
(1) Thammidi Lingaiya and (2)
his younger brother madaiya
and (3) Srikantiah, a cousin. The
khate of the lands is however
in the name of the Diety.

The statements of all
three Archaks are being recorded
from time to time, the latest
~~being~~ available in the records
being that recorded by the S.D.O
On 2.1.20.

In the paper under reference
the Dy: Commr states that
these Archaks appeared before

Put up fair
draft

26.1.22

Fair draft sent
26.1.22

7.

Serial
No

him on 10.12.21 and stated that the lands are not in am. etc., but there is no statement recorded by that Officer available in the file.

Submitted, with reference to paragraphs 33 & 34 of the notes supra.

27.1.22 27.1.22

Was notice served upon the Archakos after the issue of final note? 29.1.22

Flap A.
Flap J.

40) Serial no. 6 was issued on 28th March 1921 & the latest notice served on the Archakos by the Deputy Commissioner's Office is dated the 21st September 1921. Submitted.

31.12.22 4.2.22

Final note subd.

13/2/22 16/2/22

File No. 546 of 19

serial
No.

Ref. page 26
Second Member) 22/14/2/22

Don't approved

Recd
18.2.22

Case
ref 15/2/22-

4302-266
14 2.22

8 To the mag: Supt: in Mysore
 " Dy: Commr. Mysore Dist.
 " Comptroller to Govt
 " Supt: Inam Settlements
 No. 4648-51 & Feb 22.
 - to - Kottig

Direct that the J. E. Katten
Swain's temple at Bokk shall
together with its lands be
taken under Govt. management

1731

WD 0468—GPB—8,00,000—21-7-17—8.

19 20
 Nov
 546-19
 /

1919-20

From

One Deputy Commissioner,
 Mysore District

To

The Secretary to Govt of
 M. S. the Maharaja of
 Mysore, Mysore District.

Dated

Despatched } 6th March 1920
 (Bangalore)

Received

General No. Ref. C no 458 of 19-20 W
 No.

Enclosure No. one file

Recommending that the
 at Benkalali
 Kalasencarasmaning may be
 taken under Govt. management
 under Section 9 of the
 Mysore Regulation.

23.2.

Name of taluqa;	S. No.	Kind	Extent	Assessment	Water-rate.
1. Benkalali	78	well	1-37	Rs. 9-8-0	"
2. Do.	158	"	2-23	" 2-8-0	10-8-0
3. Do.	74	"	2-20	2-12-00	"
Total			7-0	Rs 24-00	10-8-0
					Rs. 34-8-0

22,

Adverting to your letter No.3911/Muz.683-18-2 dated the 11th June 1919 issuing instructions in the matter of taking over under Government management the SRI KALALESWARASWAMY temple at Bokkahalli Nanjangud taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the vernacular correspondence received with the Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's letter No.R.O.C.182-18-19 (Muz.) dated the 22nd/26th January 1920.

From the ^{above} records it will be seen that the temple has got 3 survey numbers (as detailed in the margin) measuring 7 acres and assessed at Rs.34-8-0 including the water rate. Up to 1879 the whole of this extent, was devadaya Inam. In 1880, the lands in question were resumed to Government as the temple was in disrepairs and full assessment levied. After the Rampur Channel was drawn from the Kappini river, the lands came under wet cultivation and for more than 25 years the Poojarees are enjoying the produce of the lands and have neglected to spend even a pie on account of its repairs. The minimum yield of the 7 acres of land is estimated at 63 pallas and excluding the amount payable to Government a sum of Rs.350/- can annually be saved. The archaks flatly refuse to undertake to repair the temple or to take a pay of Rs.10/- per mensem so as to make the balance of about Rs.200/- available for repairs further say that the villagers have to do the repairs themselves

themselves and that they are not bound to give more than Rs.100/- towards the repairs of the temple.

The sub Division Officer recommends that the temple may be taken under Government management; that the lands be leased out for a period of 5 years and provision as noted in the margin may

1. Pay of the archak including Padithara and Deeparadhane	10	0	0	per	0	be provided and that
				mensem.	0	the balance of about
2. Hetchukatle	20	0	0	per	0	Rs.200/- may be made
			annum		0	available for the

repairs.

In the above circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may be obtained for taking the Sri Kalasewaraswamy temple at Bokkahalli under Government management under section 9 of the Muzrai Regulation.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. G. Balakrishna
For Deputy Commissioner,

Sd/- Mysore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to...1731...

Section *Muzai*
File No. *11-17*
Serial No.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Muz*)
the - 191 . }

No. *3210-5*

Date of issue... *6-5-20*

Signed by... *Mr. A. M. S. S. S.*

To
The Dy: Commr., Mysore Dist.
Sir,

With reference to ^{the} ~~your~~ correspondence ending with your letter No. C. 458 of 19-20 & 6-3-20 recommending that The Sri Kallawara-swami temple at Bokkalahalli, Nanjangud Taluk, may be taken under Govt. management under section 9 of the Muzrai Regulation, along with its lands in the enjoyment of the archaiks, I am

7/5/20

over.

21

Directed to enquire whether the lands in the enjoyment of the archaks are registered in the name of the temple or ^{the} archaks, and if the latter, ~~how they can be taken long for how long~~ and how ~~they~~ ^{they} archaks can be deprived of the lands now ~~paid~~ taken under Govt management.

If the former, how long have the archaks been in enjoyment of the lands

with a view to their being

As the archaks flatly refuse to undertake to repair the temple or to take a pay of Rs 100 per. mensem, I am further to enquire how, in that case, service in the temple will be arranged for.

2. The ver: records are returned for reference and resubmission

List of enclos^{es}

I have etc
 28/4/20
 Secretary
 Muz: Deptt.
 28/4/20

2.4.20

Copied by

Examined by

20-21
Roe
546-19
5

1954.

From the best, crucial and
most valuable.

To The Secretary
of the House - a bill to
authorize the
Secretary to

Dated 25th Nov 1955

Despatched 22/6/1941

Received 27 Nov 1962

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits report & estimates of
taking under Government. There is
an temple of Shiva now in
Bokhar in

Ref. No. 458.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 22nd November 1920.

From

P.E. Bowring, Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, P.A. D.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Mysore Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence under your letter No. 3916/Muz.546-19-2 dated the 6th inst. and calling for further information in the matter of taking under Government management, the temple of Sri Palleswara Swamy at Poldanahalli, Nanjargud Taluk, along with its lands, I have the honor to state that from the report received from the Sub Division Officer it is seen that the lands in question which are ever now in the Khate of the temple were first held as Inam for the maintenance of the temple and the worship in the temple. But as the Archaks allowed the temple to get into disrepair appropriating all the income from the lands for their own use, the Inam tenure appeared to have been cancelled at the time Settlement and by mistake the Khate of the lands made in the name of the pignoris. It is reported that this mistake was found out later on and the same rectified by restoring the Khate to the name of the temple. The Sub Division Officer states further that the lands though shown as fully assessed, are yet the property of the temple and that it is unreasonable to allow the produce of the land to be taken away by the Archaks without making the least provision for the improvement of the Temple.

As the lands are valuable, I am of opinion that the lands and Temple may be taken under Government Management. The lands may


be leased out for five years on gutta tenure and only a fixed salary or grain given to the pujaris, the balance after meeting the assessment ^{being} set apart for the repairs of the Temple etc.

The vernacular file ending with the Sub Division Officer's report No.R.O.C.182/18-19 dated 17th September 1920, is forwarded herewith for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



For Deputy Commissioner,

 Mysore District.

A.N.

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 540-77
Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

To

()
, the 191 .)

The Nam Supdt
in Mys &

Date of issue 1 21

Sir,

Signed by..... Mr. A. M. Dyer

I am directed to

request that you will be
so good as to forward
for reference, copies of

The Nam Register &
pertaining to the resumption
of the marginally noted
lands from the temple
of Sri Kallasaiah
Namam.

over

SUBJECT.

Name of Village	S. no.	Extent	Assessment
1) BOKKAKALLI	78	1-37	9-8-0
2) —	158	2-23	2-8-0
3) —	76	2-20	12-5-0
		7-0	24-0-0

Nanjangud Taluk

I have to,

With

7/5

for

1003 - 1000

24

4.1.24

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

1673

REV. OFF.

11 FEB 1921

No. 198 546 15

Enc. 5

Office of the Superintendent,

Mysore Revenue Survey,

Dated, Bangalore 10th February 1921.

From

D. K. Darashah, Esq., B.A.,

Superintendent of Revenue Survey and

Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

Sir,

As requested in your letter No. 2386/Muz. 546-13-4,

dated 11-1-1921, I have the honor to forward herewith a

copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the resumption

of lands from the temple of Sri Kalleswaraswami at Bokka

halli, Nanjangud Taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. V. Krishnaswami

Sub Assistant Superintendent,

For Superintendent.

(Absent from Station)

LSR.

av

14/2/21

Register sheet No. 91

Taluk Nanjira

Sammatt

Village

1941

in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
1	Devadaya	Dry 1000 78+198	6. 30. 00 10. 0. 0 10. 0. 0	
			X After memo attached to Sheet No 1 of the Taluk.	

Sammat of

14. C. C. C. C.

in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		It for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e. jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9

P 2. A P
1 2-14-7

For the Support
of the temple
of Kallacwara
Devaru which
is said to be
healy but
services are
maintained

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND		
Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Purniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
o	H. F. account 1804-5 Snalle Swara Swami Kood 7 Dry 4 — 8 Hullabana 2 10	H ⁹ F. account 1831-72 Tulles Dry 10- 10

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Bekkatally. Kalle Surana Lavan Manager protein			

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
1	20

1. Whole grant - Dev - Jini - rath - k
2. The temple is decayed, the Sthan - of - a - S' - k -
that the ryots have collected money to
to raise walls which are too low
but the whole building is in ruins.
He solicits 6 months grace which may
be sanctioned.
3. In the oldest account in the
Malleswara is entered that it was
there was no such idol ever existed.
I think the entry might be a mistake.
4. Subject to the Amils report on the completion
of the repairs the Inam is recommended
to be confirmed to the Devan in col. 15
free under Rule V.
5. H. J. account 1804-5 adopted

30. 6. 79

(52) B. Rayagopaliah
Assistant

Review by the Special Assistant
Gen. C. from which the above
is taken.

1 Call for the report from the Anril
(Entled) R. A Cole,
Superintendent.

2. No reply received may be resumed.

(Sd) B. Rajagopaliah }
Assistant

3. Resumed.

14. 8. 80. (3) R A. cole
Superintendent

"Copy"
 W. W. Keen
 Superintendent of
 N. York & R. R. Co.

May 546-1976

Letter No. 3314 Mysore - 46-196 dated - 2nd

Received 17/21, from the Govt. of Mysore
Mysore, to the Government, ~~2/2/1922~~ 13/2/1922
to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore

With reference to the correspondence

ending in the year letter No. 113 of 1921

dated 23rd November 1920, in the matter

of letting, under Government lease, the

the temple of Sri Gollakere near

Bokkiahalli, Taluk, District

to state that as the temple has been

to fall into a state of disrepair, the

have no right to hold the lease

- down thereto, and that these

that they should be allowed

produce of the lands, without

upon to contribute a substantial portion

of the cost of, is quite unreasonable

therefore, to request that

to appear before you on a date fixed and intimated to them

good as to call upon them

would to share some duty to

not be resumed from their

taken under the direct management of the

Government

2 The result of the survey

reported to Government

next with your honorable detail for the future

2/2/1922 Dist. Sherikar
Mysore Dist. Office
Mysore

1224 1921-22

From The Deputy Commissioner and
Muzdar District.

DIARY

1417

132/1922

SECTION

FILE No.

To The Secretary
to the Government of M. S.
Maharaja of Mysore, Mysore
Department, Bangalore

Dated

Despatched

} 7th October 1921.
M.S.

Received

General No. Ref. C. No. 28 of 2-11
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Submitting an enclosure as per
re the taking under Government
management, the temple of Sri
Kalkeswara Swami at Bakkahalli
in the Nanjangud Taluk.

No.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, the 6th October 21

From

S. Piriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 3918 Muz. 546-19-2 dated 6th May 1920 calling for further information re the taking under Government management, the temple of Sri Kalaseswaraswamy at Bokkahally in Nanjangud Taluk, I have the honor to state that dates were thrice fixed to secure the presence of the archaks in view of asking them to shew cause why the lands belonging to above temple, should not be resumed from their possession etc. For some reason or the other I have not been able to hold an enquiry into the matter yet. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 4th October 1920 two of the archaks put in their appearance before the court the third being absent. On the prayer of those present that day, the enquiry has been adjourned to the 25th instant.

Soon after the completion of the enquiry the report called for will be submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V. K. S. S.
For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

2366

1927 72, 22 22

From Mrs. Sophia L. Conover to Mrs. _____
Superior National, 5th 6. - 19.

To the Secretary
to the Government of the
Maha Raja of Mysore, Mysore
Department, Bangalore.

Dated }
Despatched } to the President 1941.

100

General No. Reference 458 of 19-20
No. 1

Enclosure No. *see file*

Submits up to, in the matter of
^{Wawa-magok}
 taking under Government, the list
 of Si Kallawara natives, as Kallawara
 Kanyangia Dolete —

CC
12/12/22

Cur ST
15/12/21

No.

31 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 10th December 1921.

From

P. F. Bowring, Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

P. L. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department. Bangalore.

Sir,


With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 3314/Muz. 546-19-6 dated 28th March 1921, in the matter of taking under Government Management, the temple of Sri Kallewara Swami at Bokkanalli, Nanjangud taluk, I have the honor to state that as desired by you in the above, the archiks of the Temple were called upon to appear before me to-day to shew cause why the lands should not be resumed from their possession and taken under the direct management of Government. They appeared to-day and say that the lands are not Inam.

2 As a matter of fact, these lands were resumed to Government in 1880. They have no documents to file in their behalf. They further say that they will be deprived of their livelihood, if the lands are resumed to Government and pray that they may be left in ~~undisturbed~~ undisturbed possession thereof as heretofore. Their prayer cannot be accepted in view of the fact that they have left the temple in a most neglected condition, appropriating all the income from the temple lands for their personal use.

3 The lands and the temple may therefore, be taken under Government management, and Rs. 10 a month paid to the Archiks for their services, as per proposals already submitted to Government.

4 The connected vernacular file of papers on the subject, received with your letter under reply is retransmitted herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,



27-2366
Fair Draft

P. 11/11/11

proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read --

Correspondence ending with letter
No.458 of 1919-20, dated the 10th Decem-
ber 1921, from the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, soliciting ^{the} sanction of
Government for taking the Sri Kalleswara-
swami temple at Bokkahalli in the Nanjanad
Taluk under Government management, under
Section 9 of the Muzrai Regulation.

- - - -

Order -- No.

4648-57 / 1923 546-19-
Brent 12/11/22
dated Bangalore, the 22-11-22

Name of village.	S.No.	Kind.	Extent. A. G.	Assessment.		Water rate.	
				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Bokkahalli	78	Wet	1 37	9 8 0			
	158	"	2 23	2 8 0			
	74	"	2 20	12 0 0			
		Total	7 0	24 0 0		10 8 0	or Rs.34-8-0

The temple of Sri Kalleswara-swami at
Bokkahalli had been endowed with the margin-
ally noted inam lands measuring 7 acres,
assessed at Rs.34-8-0, which, on account of
the disrepaired condition of the institution
were resumed to Government during the Inam
Settlement of 1880 when it was ordered that
full assessment should be levied thereon.
Since the Inam resume was cancelled, the lands were
Kapini River, the lands have been under wet
cultivation and are estimated to yield 60
pallas of paddy worth about Rs.550/- net.
The local officers report that the temple
is in the same neglected condition in which
it was, when the resumption took place over
40 years ago.

Entered as
amended
lands in
the list
of the temple.
Belongs to
the temple
and was subsequently
transferred to
the temple.

The Archaks, who have been enjoying the produce of the lands for over 25 years have been neglecting their duty to keep the temple in a state of good repair and now ~~they~~ contend that their possession should not be disturbed, ^{and that} ~~though~~ they are not liable to give anything more than Rs.100/- per year to the temple. The Deputy Commissioner is of ~~xxx~~ opinion that their prayer is inadmissible and recommends that the temple may be taken under Government management and the lands leased for 5 years under the standing orders. ~~He~~ further suggests that the Archak may be paid a salary of Rs.10/- per month and a sum of Rs.20/- for Hetchukatie expenses, and the balance of Rs.200/- per annum saved for the repairs of the temple and for other unforeseen expenditure.

In these circumstances, Government are pleased to accept the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner, who is requested to take possession of the temple and its properties at once, under Sec.9 of the Muzrai Regulation.

Recd
15.2.22

U J 14/2/22
Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

To.

84/10/22
The Muz. Supdt. in Mysore
The Deputy Commr, Mysore Dist., returning the ver. records.
The Comptroller to Govt.
The Supdt. of Inam Settlement.